IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

United States District Court Southern District of New York Related Case Statement

i dii Caption di Later i ned Case	Full Captio	n of L	ater Fil	led Case
-----------------------------------	--------------------	--------	----------	----------

MICHAEL KENT,

Plaintiff

Case Number

vs.

1:22-cv-03257

NOBLE CORPORATION, CHARLES M. SLEDGE, PAUL ARONZON, PATRICK J. BARTELS JR., ROBERT W. EIFLER, ALAN J. HIRSHBERG, ANN D. PICKARD, and MELANIE M. TRENT,

Defendant

Full Caption of Earlier Filed Case:

(including in bankruptcy appeals the relevant adversary proceeding)

PHUONG LE,

Plaintiff

Case Number

vs.

1:22-cv-00351

NOBLE CORPORATION, ROBERT W. EIFLER, PATRICK J. BARTELS, JR., ALAN J. HIRSHBERG, ANN PICKARD, CHARLES SLEDGE, MELANIE M. TRENT, PAUL ARONZON, NOBLE FINCO LIMITED, NOBLE NEWCO SUB LIMITED, and THE DRILLING COMPANY OF 1972 A/S.

Defendant

IH-32 Rev: 2014-1

Status	Ωf	Farlie	r File	d C	ase:
Otatus	OI.	$\perp ann$,, , ,,,,	\cdot u \cdot u	aoc.

	Closed	(If so, set forth the procedure which resulted in closure, e.g., voluntary dismissal, settlement, court decision. Also, state whether there is an appeal pending.)
\checkmark	Open	(If so, set forth procedural status and summarize any court rulings.)

Complaint filed on January 13, 2022. Case assigned to the Hon. P. Kevin Castel.

Explain in detail the reasons for your position that the newly filed case is related to the earlier filed case.

The newly filed case is related to the earlier filed case for the following reasons:

- (1) involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims;
- (2) arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact; and
- (3) is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.

Signature:	/s/ Richard A. Acocelli	Date: 05/09/2022
_	Acocelli Law, PLLC	
Firm:		